

UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI
Columbia . Kansas City . Rolla . St. Louis

Minutes of Board of Curators Meeting
April 12, 2018
Rolla, Missouri

BOARD OF CURATORS MEETING – PUBLIC SESSION

A meeting of the University of Missouri Board of Curators was convened in public session at 9:05 A.M., on Thursday, April 12, 2018, in St. Pat's Ballroom A&B of the Havener Center on the Missouri University of Science and Technology campus, Rolla, Missouri, pursuant to public notice given of said meeting. Curator David L. Steelman, Chair of the Board of Curators, presided over the meeting.

Present

The Honorable Julia G. Brncic
The Honorable Darryl M. Chatman
The Honorable Jamie L. Farmer
The Honorable Maurice B. Graham
The Honorable Jeffrey L. Layman
The Honorable John R. Phillips
The Honorable Phillip H. Snowden
The Honorable David L. Steelman
The Honorable Jon T. Sundvold

Also Present

Dr. Mun Y. Choi, President
Mr. Stephen J. Owens, General Counsel
Ms. Cindy Harmon, Secretary of the Board of Curators
Ms. Courtney Lauer, Student Representative to the Board of Curators
Media representatives

General Business

Resolution for Executive Session of the Board of Curators Meeting

It was moved by Curator Graham and seconded by Curator Brncic, that there shall be an executive session with a closed record and closed vote of the Board of Curators meeting April 12-13, 2018 for consideration of:

- x **Section 610.021(1), RSMo**, relating to matters identified in that provision, which include legal actions, causes of action or litigation, and confidential or privileged communications with counsel; and
- x **Section 610.021(2), RSMo**, relating to matters identified in that provision, which include leasing, purchase, or sale of real estate; and
- x **Section 610.021(3), RSMo**, relating to matters identified in that provision, which include hiring, firing, disciplining, or promoting of particular employees; and
- x **Section 610.021(12), RSMo**, relating to matters identified in that provision, which include sealed bids and related documents and sealed proposals and related documents or documents related to a negotiated contract; and
- x **Section 610.021 (13), RSMo**

Board of Curators Meeting – Executive Session

A meeting of the University of Missouri Board of Curators was convened in executive session at 9:10 A.M., on Thursday, April 12, 2018, in the Silver and Gold Room of the Havener Center on the Missouri University of Science and Technology campus, Rolla, Missouri, pursuant to public notice given of said meeting. Curator David L. Steelman, Chair of the Board of Curators, presided over the meeting.

Present

The Honorable Julia G. Brncic
The Honorable Darryl M. Chatman
The Honorable Jamie L. Farmer
The Honorable Maurice B. Graham
The Honorable Jeffrey L. Layman
The Honorable John R. Phillips
The Honorable Phillip H. Snowden
The Honorable David L. Steelman
The Honorable Jon T. Sundvold

Also Present

Dr. Mun Y. Choi, President
Mr. Stephen J. Owens, General Counsel
Ms. Cindy Harmon, Secretary of the Board of Curators

General Business

President's Report on performance goals – presented by President Choi

No action taken by the Board.

Interim Chancellor Maples and Interim Associate Vice President of Human Resources Fischer joined the meeting.

President's Report on Personnel Matters – presented by President Choi

No action taken by the Board.

Interim Chancellor Maples and Interim Associate Vice President Fischer excused themselves from the meeting.

General Counsel and Litigation Report – presented by General Counsel Owens

The executive session of the Board of Curators meeting recessed at 10:35 AM on Thursday, April 12, 2018.

10:30 A.M. Meeting by invitation for the Board of Curators, President and Intercampus Faculty Council
St. Pat's Ballroom C, Havener Center

12:00 P.M. Luncheon by invitation for the Board of Curators, President, General Officers and Missouri University of Science and Technology Student Leaders
Carver/Turner Room, Havener Center

1:15 P.M. Reconvened Public Session

A meeting of the University of Missouri Board of Curators was reconvened in public session at 1:35 P.M., on Thursday, April 12, 2018, in St. Pat's Ballroom A&B of the Havener Center on the Missouri University of Science and Technology campus, Rolla, Missouri, pursuant to public notice given of said meeting. Curator David L. Steelman, Chair of the Board of Curators, presided over the meeting.

Present

The Honorable Julia G. Brncic
The Honorable Darryl M. Chatman
The Honorable Jamie L. Farmer
The Honorable Maurice B. Graham
The Honorable Jeffrey L. Layman
The Honorable John R. Phillips
The Honorable Phillip H. Snowden
The Honorable David L. Steelman
The Honorable Jon T. Sundvold

Also Present

Dr. Mun Y. Choi, President
Mr. Stephen J. Owens, General Counsel
Ms. Cindy Harmon, Secretary of the Board of Curators
Ms. Courtney Lauer, Student Representative to the Board of Curators
Dr. Gary K. Allen, Vice President for Information Technology
Dr. Barbara A. Bichelmeyer, Interim Chancellor for University of Missouri – Kansas City

11. Revisions, University of Missouri – Kansas City School of Dentistry Standards of Professional Conduct and Honor Council Procedures, UMKC

1. Minutes, February 1-2, 2018 Board of Curators Meeting – as provided to the curators for review and approval.
2. Minutes, February 1-2, 2018 Board of Curators Committee Meetings - as provided to the curators for review and approval.
3. Minutes, March 1, 2018 Special Board of Curators Meeting – as provided to the curators for review and approval.
4. Minutes, March 20, 2018 Special Board of Curators Meeting and Finance Committee Meeting – as provided to the curators for review and approval.
5. Degrees, Spring Semester 2018 for all campuses –
that the action of the President of the University of Missouri System in awarding

1. **Research Leave.** Tenured, tenure-track, and full-time, ranked non-tenure track research faculty members with established scholarly, artistic or research records are eligible to apply for a research leave. A research leave may be taken for a period of time up to a full year. If a faculty member receives an external

in duration, it is important not to interrupt the teaching and learning environment of students. Therefore, any faculty member who would normally have teaching responsibilities and is returning from a family and medical leave mid-semester will not be expected to return to the classroom until the start of a new term. In order to receive pay upon the return from a 12-week family and medical leave or a 26-week covered service member leave, a differentiated work load must be negotiated. This differentiated work load must be documented in writing and approved by the department chair, dean[1] and the provost or designee. If negotiated, the faculty member may be eligible to receive pay for the remainder of the semester. Faculty members who take family and medical leave, either paid or unpaid, shall not, because of such leave, be assigned heavier work load or be otherwise discriminated against.

- C. **Military Leave** – See Section 340.010 and the HR Policy Manual for further information regarding military leave.
- D. **Definition of Full-time, Ranked, Non-Tenure (NTT) Faculty.** For purposes of this policy, there shall be four main types of full-time, ranked NTT faculty. Each should have primary responsibility in a single area: teaching, or research, or clinical practice, or extension activities. The titles should identify the area. Within each area, there shall be three ranks:
 - 1. Research faculty (Research Professor, Associate Research Professor, Assistant Research Professor)
 - 2. Teaching faculty (Teaching Professor, Associate Teaching Professor, Assistant Teaching Professor)
 - 3. Clinical/Professional Practice faculty (Clinical Professor, Associate Clinical Professor, Assistant Clinical Professor or Professional Practice Professor, Associate Professional Practice Professor, Assistant Professional Practice Professor)
 - 4. Extension faculty (Extension Professor, Associate Extension Professor, Assistant Extension Professor, Extension Professional, Associate Extension Professional, Assistant Extension Professional)
 - 5. Librarian faculty (Librarian I/II, Librarian III, Librarian IV) on campuses whose librarian faculty have opted for NTT status as a body, whose faculty council or faculty senate, as appropriate to the individual campus, has formally recognized

D. **Individual Assessment.** Procedures for determining the need for a student involuntary health leave of absence shall include the following:

1. Examines multiple risk factors and utilizes a consistent process in evaluating the level of risk.
2. Incorporates the best objective evidence with health and medical advice.
3. Utilizes a multidimensional team-based approach and sharing of information, expertise, and recommendations.
4. Assesses the totality of the circumstance and associated risk

- II. Development of a targeted ubiquitin ligase-based therapy for Giant Axonal Neuropathy
- Mark Hannink
Professor
University of Missouri-Columbia
- Total funding recommended \$94,300
- III. Application of the WMS for advanced physical training and endurance testing of manual wheelchair users
- Joseph Klaesner
Professor
Washington University
- Total funding recommended \$125,000
- IV. Understanding Normal and Aberrant miRNA-mediated Motor Neuron Astrocyte Communication
- Timothy Miller
Professor
Washington University
- Total funding recommended \$250,000
- V. Regulation of glial bridging spinal cord regeneration
- Mayssa Mokalled
Assistant Professor
Washington University
- Total funding recommended \$250,000
- VI. Harnessing an underlying mechanism of spinal plasticity to improve ventilator function in a novel model of respiratory motor neuron death
- Nicole Nichols
Assistant Professor
University of Missouri-Columbia
- Total funding recommended \$250,000

9. Sole Source – Inhaled Nitric Oxide, MUHC

That MUHC be authorized to purchase Inhaled Nitric Oxide from INO Therapeutics LLC d/b/a Mallinckrodt, Bedminster, New Jersey, at a total cost of \$836,004.

Funding is as follows:

MUHC Respiratory Therapy Operating Fund	H00703 733100
---	---------------

10. Sole Source – Chargemaster Software, MUHC

That MUHC be authorized to purchase Chargemaster Software from Craneware Inc., Atlanta, Georgia, at a total cost of \$1,792,900.

Funding is as follows:

MUHC Revenue Management Operating Fund	H2770 739800
MUHC Pharmacy Operating Fund	H0219 739800
University Physicians Operating Fund	C5279 739800

11. Revisions, University of Missouri – Kansas City School of Dentist(e)m1(i)-nd0 (t)-6 ((n)-4 (d)]

]TJ (T.e2)

These Standards of Professional Conduct are drawn from the Principles of Ethics and Code of Professional Conduct of the American Dental Association, and the American Dental Hygienists' Association Code of Ethics. Students should aspire to meet the high ideals of their professions, which may exceed their legal duties, but must meet the baseline standards expressed in the School of Dentistry's Standards of Professional Conduct. The School of Dentistry faculty should be effective advisors and mentors in the process of growth in this vital area. The matriculation process affords the opportunity to learn together, support one's colleagues and encourage growth through challenges. The following Standards of Professional Conduct articulate expectations of UMKC School of Dentistry students during the time you spend in the school as you transition into your practice of the profession of dentistry or dental hygiene. Maturation, discernment, and wisdom will be needed as you assume the role of dentist or dental hygienist.

1. **Nonmaleficence – Do No Harm:** the student strives to first do no harm.
 - a. Patients are treated according to the School of Dentistry's Standards of Care, and their preferences and desires must be considered in treatment decisions.
 - b. No harm or potential harm is done to the patient either through intent, ignorance, lack of preparation for the patient encounter, lack of skill, or personal impairment of any kind.
 - c. No patient is "abandoned," which is defined as discontinuance of care without just cause and without giving the patient adequate notice and the opportunity to obtain the services of another provider.
2. **Veracity & Fidelity:** the student maintains high standards of academic and professional honesty and integrity.
 - a. The student is honest during didactic, preclinical, and clinical communications, assignments and evaluations.
 - b. The student will not engage in, facilitate or permit unfair advantage by utilizing unauthorized aids or by assisting another student during a didactic, preclinical, or clinical evaluation in a manner not prescribed by the instructor.
 - c. The student will not alter, forge, falsify, or fabricate information, documentation, or service.
 - d. The student maintains confidentiality of patient information.
 - e. The student strives to attain high levels of competence, admit errors and faulty treatment to faculty, and does not knowingly mislead others or promote oneself at the expense of others.
 - f. The student comes to class, lab, and clinic prepared so that the time can be maximized and patient care is optimally provided.
3. **Responsibility and Sense of Duty:** the student fulfills duties and obligations of the profession of dentistry and dental hygiene which are expected by the public.
 - a. The student becomes familiar with and adheres to the codes of ethics promoted by the American Dental Association, and the American Dental Hygienists' Association
 - b. The student

- d. The student is dedicated to helping patients, colleagues, the profession, and society to reach their maximal potential.
 - e. The student maintains quality of care while addressing conflicts of interest.
 - f. The student engages in personal and professional conduct that reflects positively on the UMKC School of Dentistry and the profession.
 - g. The student strives to uphold the dignity and respect of the profession of dentistry by his or her dress, personal appearance, conduct, and conversation.
4. **Justice and Respect for the Rights of Others:** the student respects the rights, privileges, and property of other members of the School of Dentistry, the University, and the professions of dentistry and dental hygiene.
- a. The student deals with faculty, staff, peers, and patients in a dignified, considerate manner and with a spirit of cooperation.
 - b. The student views and treats all people encountered in an academic, clinical or cyberspace capacity equally in regards to liberties, rights, respect, acceptance, and opportunities.
 - c. The student places the patient's welfare (i.e., the provision of competent and timely delivery of dental care within the bounds of clinical circumstances as presented by the patients, such as needs, desires and values) as paramount, taking precedence above all else. This also includes the obligation to a) identify and report perioral or other signs of abuse and neglect, and to consult with faculty to report suspected cases to proper authorities as required by law; and b) report instances of faulty treatment, whether intentional or not, to the appropriate faculty member.
 - d. All standards and requirements of patient care established by the School of Dentistry are followed at all times.
 - e. The student submits his or her own original work in a manner prescribed by the instructor and with the expectation that the grade reflects only that student's achievement.
 - f. The student is respectful toward the learning process and to those involved with it.
 - g. No student shall create a disruption in the learning process through behaviors that are not conducive to an academic and patient care environment.
 - h. The student respects the ideas and words of others by attributing the quoted or paraphrased portions to their original sources.

In addition to the conduct detailed in the preceding sections, a student is subject to the University of Missouri Student Conduct Code SCon3TveSC9unl.

opportunity to present a personal version of the incident or occurrence. The Assistant Dean for Student Programs or his/her representative may discuss with any student such alleged misconduct and the student shall attend such consultation as requested by the Assistant Dean for Student Programs or his/her representative.

*In cases where the report of student misconduct is for alleged sex discrimination, sexual harassment or sexual misconduct, as set forth in CRR 600.030, the Assistant Dean for Student Programs will report the allegations to the Title IX Coordinator for handling in accordance with CRR 600.030.

(CRR 600.030 can be found at:

https://www.umsystem.edu/ums/rules/collected_rules/equal_employment_educational_opportunity/ch600/600.030_equity_resolution_process_for_resolving_complaints_of_harassment%20)

The Assistant Dean for Student Programs or his/her representative, together with the campus Primary Administrative Officer, shall then determine whether there is reasonable cause to believe a violation of the Standards of Professional Conduct or Student Conduct Code has occurred. If it is determined that there is reasonable cause to believe a violation of the Student Conduct Code has occurred, the campus Primary Administrative Officer shall handle the case pursuant to the CRR 200.020. If it is determined that there is reasonable cause to believe a violation of the Standards of Professional Conduct has occurred, the Assistant Dean for Student Programs or his/her representative will proceed with the process described in this document.

(CRR 200.020 can be found at:

https://www.umsystem.edu/ums/rules/collected_rules/programs/ch200/200.020_rules_of_procedures_in_student_conduct_matters)

B. Composition

The School of Dentistry Honor Council shall be composed of ten members that include four students and six faculty. Members consist of one student representative from the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th year dental classes, one student representative from the undergraduate senior dental hygiene class and six full time faculty elected at-large including at least one member from within the three faculty units defined in the Faculty Bylaws Article III, Section IV. Members shall have one vote in Council decisions, unless noted in these procedures. The chair will be a Council faculty member and will be elected by all Council members. The chair will have no vote in Council decisions except in the event of

Respondent fails to appear at the scheduled time, the Honor Council may hear and determine the matter in the Respondent's absence.

B. Rights of Respondent upon Hearing

A Respondent scheduled to appear before the School of Dentistry Honor Council pursuant to formal notice of charges shall have the right: 1) to attend classes under such conditions as may be designated pending hearing and disposition of the case by the Council, provided such attendance will not seriously disrupt the School of Dentistry or constitute a danger to the health, safety or welfare of members of the School of Dentistry community, including but not limited to patients; 2) to submit a written response to the charge outlined in the notice before the scheduled hearing is to commence; 3) to be permitted, in advance of the scheduled hearing, a review of any materials contained in his/her hearing file, which will be kept in the Student Affairs Office; 4) to receive a disclosure of the identities of witnesses to be called to testify before the Council; 5) to be present at the hearing; 6) to have an advisor or counselor of his/her choice appear with him/her and to consult with such advisor or counselor during the hearing; however, the advisor/counselor will not be allowed to question witnesses and/or members of the Council or present to the Council; 7) to hear or examine evidence presented to the Council against him/her; 8) to question witnesses present who are testifying against him/her at the hearing, and only at the hearing; 9) to present evidence by witness or affidavit of any defense the Respondent desires; 10) to make any statement to the Council in mitigation or explanation of his/her conduct in question; 11) to remain silent; 12) to be informed in writing of the findings of the Council and any discipline it recommends; and 13) to appeal the decision and/or disposition (see Section IV).

C. Rights of the School of Dentistry Honor Council

The School of Dentistry Honor Council shall have the right: 1) to hear cases arising out of the same act of misconduct together when they involve more than one Respondent; but in that event the Council shall make separate findings and recommendations for each Respondent; 2) to permit the incorporation in the record by reference any document, affidavit, or other material produced and desired to be incorporated in the record by the School or Respondent; 3) to question witnesses or other evidence introduced by either the School or the Respondent at any time; 4) to call additional witnesses or require additional investigation; 5) to dismiss any action at any time or permit informal disposition as otherwise provided; 6) to, at any time, permit or require amendment of the Notice of Hearing to include new or additional matters that may come to the attention of the Council before final determination of the case; provided, however, that in such an event the Council shall grant to the Respondent and/or School such additional time as the Council may determine to be reasonable under the circumstances to answer or explain such additional matters; 7) to dismiss any person from the hearing who interferes with or obstructs the hearing or who fails to abide by the rulings of the Chair or the Council on request.

D. Rights of Witnesses

Students, faculty and/or staff of the School who serve as witnesses at the hearing shall be protected from retaliation or harassment at or apart from the hearing by the Respondent. If retaliation or harassment of one or more witnesses by the Respondent does occur, this will be considered a separate violation of the Standards of Professional Conduct.

E. Conduct of Hearing

1. Overview

The Chair shall preside at the hearing; call the hearing to order; call the roll of the School of Dentistry Honor Council members in attendance; ascertain the presence or absence of the Respondent; read the Notice of Hearing and Charge, and certify the receipt of Notice of Hearing and Charge by the Respondent; report any continuances requested or granted; establish the presence of any advisor or counselor of the Respondent; call to the attention of the Respondent and his/her advisor any special or extraordinary procedures to be employed during the hearing; and permit the Respondent to make suggestions or objections to any procedures for the Council to consider.

2. Specific Procedures

a. Opening Statements

- 1) The Assistant Dean for Student Programs or his/her representative shall make opening remarks outlining the general nature of the case and any facts the investigation has revealed.
- 2) The Respondent may make a statement to the School of Dentistry Honor Council at this time or at the conclusion of the School's presentation during the hearing.

b. School's Evidence

- 1) Witnesses are to be called by the Assistant Dean for Student Programs or his/her representative and identified, or written reports or evidence introduced as appropriate.
- 2) The Council may question witnesses at any time.
- 3) The Respondent may question each witness at the completion of that witness' testimony; Respondent may examine evidence at the conclusion of the School's presentation of such evidence.

c. Respondent's Evidence

- 1) The Respondent shall have the opportunity to make a statement to the School of Dentistry Honor Council about the charge if he/she has not already elected to do so.
- 2) The Respondent may present evidence through witnesses or in the form of written documents as desired.

3) The Council/Assistant Dean for Student Programs may question the Respondent or his/her witnesses at the completion of the Respondent's testimony or each such witness' testimony.

d. Rebuttal Evidence

The School of Dentistry Honor Council may permit the Assistant Dean for Student Programs or his/her representative to offer a rebuttal of the Respondent's presentation.

3. Determination of School of Dentistry Honor Council

The School of Dentistry Honor Council shall promptly enter deliberations to establish its findings and recommendation in session, out of the presence of the Respondent and of the Assistant Dean for Student Programs or his/her representative. Recommendation is to be made regarding whether Respondent engaged in misconduct in violation of the Standards of Professional Conduct and if so, the sanction, if any, to be imposed.

a. Violation of Standards of Professional Conduct.

The School of Dentistry Honor Council shall determine if the preponderance of evidence presented in the hearing supports the charge of violation of the Standards of Professional Conduct. This determination shall be made by majority vote of the Council members. Each charge of misconduct, if there is more than one, shall be considered individually and/or collectively at the discretion of the Council. If the Respondent is not found to have violated the Standards of Professional Conduct, there shall be no further determination required.

b. Sanction Recommended

The School of Dentistry Honor Council shall recommend the sanction to be imposed, if any, based upon its deliberations. The following sanctions are available:

1) **No Action** — given when the Council feels the severity of the violation does not require a sanction;

2) **Reprimand** — the Respondent remains enrolled but under conditions specified by the Council. These conditions may include but are not limited to, written documentation of misconduct in the Respondent's record, probation, required remediation with a mentor, additional assignments, and practice or course work in an instructional setting (classroom, laboratory, or clinic).

3) **Suspension** — an involuntary separation of the Respondent from the institution for misconduct for a specified period of time or until special conditions have been met. Suspension differs from dismissal in that, after the stated time period or the satisfaction of specified conditions, the Respondent is eligible to resume studies in the Respondent's academic programs.

4) **Dismissal** — an involuntary separation of the Respondent from the Institution. Dismissal differs from suspension in that it does not

Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs. For the purpose of appeal, files will be accessible at reasonable times and places to both the School of Dentistry and the Respondent.

IV. Right of Appeal

A. Procedure

1. If a sanction is imposed pursuant to this process, the Respondent may appeal such decision to the Chancellor or his/her representative by filing written Notice of Appeal with the Chancellor within ten (10) business days after notification of the decision of the Dean. A copy of the Notice of Appeal will also be given by the Respondent to the Dean of the School of Dentistry at the time of filing. The Respondent may file a written memorandum for consideration by the Chancellor with the Notice of Appeal, and the Chancellor may request a reply to such memorandum by the Dean.

2. The Chancellor or his/her designated representative shall review the full record of the case and the appeal documents and may affirm, reverse or remand the case for further proceedings. The Chancellor shall notify the Dean of the School of Dentistry and the Respondent in writing of the decision on the appeal. I The action of the Chancellor shall be final unless it is to remand the matter for furthe (ppe)4i tn (hhe)5

It was recommended by Chairman Steelman, endorsed by President Choi, moved by Curator Snowden and seconded by Curator Chatman, that the proposed 2019 Board of Curators meeting calendar be approved as follows:

St.66pby(TFnan (C

PROPOSED 2019 BOARD OF CURATORS MEETING CALENDAR

	<u>DAYS</u>	<u>DATES</u>	<u>LOCATION</u>
7	Thursday-Friday	February 7-8	UM MF /Artb-

Fiscal Year 2019 Supplemental and Other Related Enrollment Fees, UM – presented by Vice President Rapp (slides and information on file)

Review Fiscal Year 2019 Operating Budget Planning, UM – presented by Vice President Rapp (slides and information on file)

Administrative Review Update – presented by Vice President Rapp (slides on file)

Action:

Five-Year Campus Capital Project Plans, UM – presented by Vice President Rapp (information on file)

It was recommended by Chancellor Cartwright, Interim Chancellor Bichelmeyer, Interim Chancellor Maples and Chancellor George, endorsed by President Choi, recommended by the Finance Committee, moved by Curator Brncic and seconded by Curator Graham, that the:

Five-Year Capital Plans:

- x MU: Translational Precision Medicine Complex, School of Nursing - Renovation & Addition, and Medical Science Building- Upgrade & Maintenance of Research Vivarium
- x UMKC: Conservatory of Music and Dance,-

The motion carried unanimously (9-0) by voice vote with no abstentions.

Fiscal Year 2020 State Capital Appropriations Request, UM – presented by Vice President Rapp (information on file)

It was recommended by Chancellor Cartwright, Interim Chancellor Bichelmeyer, Interim Chancellor Maples and Chancellor George, endorsed by President Choi, recommended by the Finance Committee, moved by Curator Brncic and seconded by Curator Chatman, that the following action be approved:

That President Choi be authorized to submit to the appropriate state offices the University's Fiscal Year 2020 State Capital Appropriations Request as shown on the schedule presented to the Board of Curators (and as on file with the minutes of this meeting).

The motion carried unanimously (9-0) by voice vote with no abstentions.

Compensation and Human Resources Committee

Curator Phillips provided time for discussion of committee business.

Annual Benefits Report and Annual Retirement Plan Actuarial Report, UM – presented by Interim Associate Vice President Fischer (information on file for this information item)

Academic, Student Affairs and Research & Economic Development Committee

Chairman Snowden provided time for discussion of committee business.

New Degree Proposal, PhD Music Education, MU – presented by Senior Associate Vice President Graham (information on file)

It was recommended by Sr. Associate Vice President Steve Graham, endorsed by President Mun Choi, recommended by the Academic, Student Affairs, & Research and

Economic Development Committee, moved by Curator Snowden, seconded by Curator Phillips, that the following action be approved:

that the University of Missouri, Columbia be authorized to submit the attached proposal (as on file with the minutes of this meeting) for a PhD Music Education to the Coordinating Board for Higher Education for approval.

The motion carried unanimously (9-0) by voice vote with no abstentions.

Online Education – presented by President Choi and Senior Associate Vice President Graham (slides on file for this information item)

External Affairs, Marketing and Advancement Committee

Chair Farmer provided time for discussion of committee business.

Information:

Advancement Report – University of Missouri – Columbia – presented by Mr. Tom Hiles (slides on file)

Advancement Report – Missouri University of Science and Technology – presented by Ms. Joan Nesbitt (slides on file)

Audit Committee

Chairman Graham provided time for discussion of committee business.

Information:

1. Fiscal Year 2017 Single Audit Report and NCAA Agreed Upon Procedures Reports, UM – presented by Rachel Dwiggin with BKD (slides and information on file)
2. Fiscal Year 2018 External Audit Scope, UM – presented by Rachel Dwiggin with BKD (slides and information on file)
3. Internal Audit and Consulting Quarterly Report, UM – presented by Interim Chief Audit Executive Michelle Piranio (information on file)

Health Affairs Committee

Mr. Ron Ashworth and Ms. Teresa Maledy joined the meeting as members of the Health Affairs Committee.

Others who joined the meeting included Chancellor Cartwright, Mr. Jonathon Curtright, Dean Delafontaine, Interim Vice President Rapp, Mr. Robert Hess, Mr. Blake Schofield and Ms. Jeri Doty.

Report on personnel

Hosted by:

Ms. Marsha Fisher, Interim Associate Vice President for Human Resources
Dr. Thomas F. George, Chancellor for University of Missouri-St. Louis
Dr. Steven W. Graham, Senior Associate Vice President for Academic Affairs
Dr. Chris Maples, Interim Chancellor for Missouri University of Science and Technology
Dr. Mark McIntosh, Vice President for Research and Economic Development
Dr. Kevin G. McDonald, Chief Diversity Officer
Ms. Michelle M. Piranio, Interim Chief Audit Executive
Mr. Ryan D. Rapp, Vice President for Finance
Dr. Marshall Stewart, Vice Chancellor, Extension and Engagement
Ms. Christine Holt, Chief of Staff, UM System
Mr. Christian Basi, Director of Media Relations
Media representatives

General Business

University of Missouri Board Chair Report – presented by Chair Steelman (slides on file)

The Board Chair spoke about the importance of shared governance and collaboration for the University.

University of Missouri System President's Report – presented by President Choi (slides on file)

The President discussed strategic goals and their progress.

Missouri University of Science and Technology Strategic Plan Highlights – presented by Interim Chancellor Maples

The public session of the Board of Curators meeting adjourned at 12:10 P.M. on Friday, April 13, 2018.

Executive Session

A meeting of the University of Missouri Board of Curators was reconvened in executive session at 12:25 P.M., on Friday, April 13, 2018, in the Silver and Gold Room of the Havener Center on the Missouri University of Science and Technology campus, Rolla, Missouri, pursuant to public notice given of said meeting. Curator David L. Steelman, Chair of the Board of Curators, presided over the meeting.

Consent Agenda – Executive Session

It was endorsed by President Choi, moved by Curator Graham and seconded by Curator Layman, that the following items be approved by consent agenda:

Consent Agenda

1. Property Lease, Lot #2, Fraternity Drive, Rolla, Missouri, Missouri S&T
2. Curators' Professor Emeritus, Allan Pringle, Missouri

1. Property Lease, Lot #2, Fraternity Drive, Rolla, Missouri, Missouri S&T -

that the Vice President for Fj EM, (r)-oortTc 003 T3o <<8 EMC \$Vs]4 (r)3 (a)4 (t) T

Interim Chancellor Bichelmeyer and Vice President Rapp joined the meeting.

No action taken by the Board.

General Counsel's Report and Litigation Report, continued – presented by General